

ADDENDUM ORDER

ORDER NO. 3
DATE: June 23, 2016
BID DATE: June 28, 2016

NAME OF PROJECT: Grand Avenue Pedestrian Bridge
OWNER: City of Glenwood Springs
ENGINEER: SGM and Pattillo Associates Engineers

The following changes are hereby made to the CONTRACT DOCUMENTS:

The following questions were received by email on June 22, 2016, with answers as noted:

11. What is the City's budget for this project?
ANSWER: No budget has been established as of this time.

12. In the bid schedule I don't see a line item for the erection of the bridge, lump sum or by the pound?
ANSWER: Section 210, Reset Structures is hereby divided into three pay items, Restore Portions of Existing Structure (Item 11A), Transport/Erect Existing Structure (Item 11B) and Modify Existing Structure (Item 11C). Please see the attached added Project Special Provisions pages: Revision of Section 210, Reset Structures, for more information.

13. Do the original shop drawings belong to the city or the original fabricator?
ANSWER: The Shop Drawings were given to the City's consultant by Zimmerman Metals in March, 2015, there is no copyright indicated on the drawings and it has been more than 30 years since they were created. It is presumed that the City has free use of the Zimmerman shop drawings. The City has also paid for the creation of the digital vector CAD drawings of the principal arched river span pieces and assemblies, based on the Zimmerman Shop Drawings.

14. Section 1/S11, is there a toe kick plate required?
ANSWER: Yes, a toe rail is required and the drawings have been revised to include such. Please refer to the attached revised sheet S11.

The following question was also received by email on June 22, 2016:

15. The aggregate for the thin bonded epoxy overlay as specified is a material that will to have to mixed on site in small batches. How is a consistent blend (color) expected to be achieved?
ANSWER: The aggregates should be provided in whole from the same quarry batches. Blending of the entire aggregate volume is not required, insofar that minor variations in tone are acceptable. Mixing of the aggregate proportions as specified may be done on site in small batches.

16. The #2 Flint is so fine that the binder as specified will not wet all the material and has failed on other projects. Per Sika, #3 Flint alone is a more acceptable material and had successful applications. Please advise.

ANSWER: The specification for the Thin Bonded Epoxy Overlay was developed under advisement by a Sika representative for the previous re-decking project of the same bridge in 2010. There have been no objections regarding performance of the finished surface of which the Engineer is aware. If performance issues have been documented by Sika for the specified aggregate in similar applications, the City would consider modification of the specification, otherwise no change will be considered.

ADDENDUM ACKNOWLEDGED BY:

Name

Date

PREPARED BY:

Robert Pattillo, P.E.,
Pattillo Associates Engineers, Inc.

List of Attachments:

- 1. Revision of Section 210, Reset Structure: PSP-74 to PSP-76 (3 pages)
- 2. Revised Structural Drawing: Drawing Sheet S11, revised 6/23/16
- 3. Revised Bid Schedule: BD-15 to BD-20 (6 pages)